Paper Intituled:

An Answer to the Pretended C & SE Printed concerning the Navigation of the River WYE, in the County of Surrey, by shewing the true state thereof.

He Design of making the River Wye Navigable, was in Proposition many Years before the Undertaking thereof. For Thomas Earle of Arundel (Grandfather to the now Duke of Norfolk) Discourst with the Gentlemen of Suffex and Surry, how to make a Navigable Cut from Arundel in Suffex, to the Thames by the River Wye, about 50 Years agone, and took a Survey of that Passage; But the greatness of the Charge and difficulty of the Work deterred him. About the Year 1625. Doctor Abbat (Lord Arch-Bishop of Camerbury) being Born at Guilford in Surrey, and desirous for the Benefit of the Town, to have a River made; caused a Survey to be taken, but Charge and Difficulty discouraged him also, so that his Grace bestowed that intended Charity, in Building an Hospital in that Town.

Mr. Pitfon having observed in Holland, the Advantage and Art of Navigable Cuts, and being Discourst by the Inhabitants of Guilford (near whereunto he dwelt) concerning the Navigation of River Wye, affured them the thing was Feafible; whereupon they Requested him to undertake it, and Procured an Act of the then Pretended Parliament for the doing thereof which he did. And the Work being too great for Guilford to go through with, they Assigned their Power by the said Pretended Act; to Pitson, Scotcher, How and Waltham. How and Waltham Releas'd to Pitson and Scotcher.

Sir Richard Weston was under Sequestration, by Sir Richard Ousson, and other the Commissioners as a Delinquent, and Recusant, upon Supposition that he had been in Arms at Hereford, which Mr. Pitson satisfied the then Powers was not true, and so helpt him off his Sequestration for Pretended Delinquency.

After which Sir Richard Weston proffering for 6000. 1. to perform the Work, it was Agreed he should have half the Profit for his Charges, and they furnish the other 3000. 1. and took a Mortgage of the Mannor of Sucton for Security of his Performance, but he failing fold his Moyty to Pitson and others, for 3100. I. more. And before the River was made Navigable, Pitson laid out above 10000. I. and Sir Richard Westen was Reimburst every Farthing he could pretend to have laid out. And though a Son of Sir Richard Westons, undertook to proceed upon his Fathers beginnings, yet he also Failed, and Pirson finisht the Cut in 1653. as is clearly proved in the Court of Exchequer. But whereas that Paper says, that it is in Proof tefore the Barons, that Pitson in 1654. had Received above 2000. 1. more then his Disbursments; 'tis so far from being True, that nothing like it has been offered in Proof there, and the Records themselves will best decide this Controversie.

Pit fon and his Partners had Possession of the River till 1:62. when one Dickenson a Solliciter finding that part of the Lands Cut through was the Kings Waste, and that some Land-Owners who had been agreed withal, and received part (but not all) their Moneys, had not conveyed their Lands to Pitson, took Avantage thereof in 1662. And got a Lease of some Lands from Lesfees under the Queen, and of others from the Land-owners unsatisfied, and stopt the Navigation; though divers Orders of the King and Council, and Annimadversions of the Court of Exchequer, have severally reproved Mr. Dickensons troublesome and unresonable Carriage in this Affairs: Yet in hopes to weary Pitson and all others not Trucking with him, he has continued this Disturbance, that he may securely Fish in troubled Waters, and what ever becomes of the Client, the Soliciter being the common Trustee will be a gainer. But because the said Paper pretends Matters on Dickensons part to be so clearly proved before the Barrons, it is humbly defired that by what has and shall appear in the faid Court of Exchequer, to be true in Proof, every mans Interest may stand or fall.

The matter depended long in the Court of Exchequor because Mr. Dickenson used all the subterfuge and evalions imaginable to delay the Proceedings, and shifted the Intrests by so many Conveyances Leases and Assignmen Cause, that had not that Court been extraordinary industrious as well as just, Pirson and Sands, &c. the Cause had been unheard to this day, which has been Dickensons continual endeavour.

mentioned in that Paper were obtained when Mr. Pitson was not heard, but laid in Goal by Dickenson and others derates procurement under Actions of Two hundred fifty fix thousand seven hundred pounds, That what is faid, that Two Bills brought in by Sands and Pitson, were thrown out, is utterly untrue, for never was my Bill of theirs laid aside, but as the Sessions determined, the Bills fell with the Sessions, and not otherwise.